#### University of Alaska Approved FY12 Capital Budget Request and FY12-FY17 Capital Improvement Plan

#### **Development Guidelines**

The goal of the Board of Regents' (BOR) University Addiska (UA) FY12-FY17 Capital Improvement Plan is to ensure that the necessary fitieds, equipment, and infrastructure in place for the continued growth, refinement, and improvement of the University as pribed in the UA Strategiellan and the BOR approved MAU strategic and campus master plans. The long-rategie incorporates the size capital improvement plan described in the BOR Policy and also extends cludie a 10-year scope to the BOR, President, executive staff, and university community a clear understand induce needed resourcies capital projects and the annual operating costs associated with those projects Capital Improvement?

During development of the FY11 Capital Budget Respaced long-range plan, the BOR adopted a new strategic approach for the Capital Improvement Plan. Sthestegic process arranges capital requests into a sequence by category (specific capited uests are further defined during current budget cycle), to ensure the ability of UA to meet the short-term and long-tegonals of the UA Strategic and Academic Plans. The top priority for each budget year is Renewal and Renova (R&R) funding. Also included is new construction which has already received planning and design fundit generic budget year, planning and design fundit generic budget year, planning and design funds for projects to be included for construction in the sequent budget year, planning funds for the Community Campus Study which will identify construction projects inclusion in future budgeyears of the plan and funding for the further reduction of deferred maintemeand renewal backlog. Newonstruction projects will be prioritized by theme for each specific yeachs as; Academic Instruction, Research, High-Demand Academic Programs, Student Life, and Infrastruct The BOR also established arget for total capital request of \$200-250 million per year for the current bugger and the subsequent years of the Capital Improvement Plan. This strategy and fundiagget continues for FY12 budget cycle.

The FY11 Capital Budget Request follows the modescribed by the Capitamprovement Plan. R&R funding is the top priority, new constrtion is the second priority, followed by planning for two projects to provide instructional facilities in support of the approved goal for increasing emogiering graduates at UAA and UAF campuses (with an expectationatthese projects would be included the FY12 request for construction funding), planning to develop a system-wide list of protise needed to support institional delivery at the community campuses, and further reduction of dedemodent and renewal backlog. The total BOR FY11 Capital Budget Request was \$235 million, fitting the prescribed range of \$200-\$250 million.

The guidelines are organized in the following section section and Principles, General Development Process, Capital Project Fundingtogories, and Capital Project Evation and Prioritization Criteria.

#### Background

x nvestment of \$50 million for facility R&R to prevent ce and renewalogack Ithough new facilities are important to the

University, annual facility renewand renovation (R&R), including the red renewal, code corrections,

- x Over the past 10 years (FY01-FY10), UA has requested verage of \$79.3illion in state funding for R&R, while receiving an average of \$16.4 million.eT vast gap between funding requested and funding received, combined with inflation as resulted in the elevation lot A's deferred maintenance backlog need from \$200 million in 2000 to over \$1 billion as September 2009. Extending the life of existing facilities are essential because tonger UA goes without adequáted ding for facilities R&R, the steeper the deferred maintenance curve climbs.
- x Through its operating budget the University dedic**ates** ing every year to routine and preventive maintenance and repair (M&R), and in FYODE dicated over \$28 million (approximately 1.5% of adjusted facility value) of its operating budget to this category. Nation dustry standards prescribe 2-4 percent of current replacement value as the approximately investment for M& Factors such as the age of the buildings, level of building use, and the will determine the specific percentage.
- x In addition to adequate R&R funding the BORcognizes the need for new facilities and major

x Project requests for new construction and/or memoried renewal and renovation must be accompanied by a business plan. Public and/oivpate partnershippportunities for funding must be investigated. For projects requiring debt finaming, include the debt prenent impact on the MAU and on UA's operating budget. For all projects requiring a business plan, the plan must identify the academic program, as we as construction and operating costs without costs without provide to use additional state funding. If an increment for state funding is necessary the MAU should make every effortidentify an equal decrement.

# General Development Process

- x The capital budget will be developed in accordawith the timeframe set forth in the budget development calendar. Each MAU will submit its capital request bifurcated between main and community campuses and will rank the projects froentighest to lowest in terms of MAU priority. Submitted projects will be reviewed and prioritize/stem-wide by appropriate councils and President's Cabinet.
- x Based on this input the President will submit appresed FY12 Capital Budget Request and the longrange Capital Improvement Plancinding details of any changestime previously adopted plan—to the BOR for review at the September meeting.
- x All projects proposed for the FY12 pital Budget Request and the CapImprovement Plan will be entered into the capital databased obtain the Preliminary Adminiative Approval in accordance with Board of Regents' Policy P05.12, thugh this capital budget process.

# **Capital Project Funding Categories**

Projects will be presented in draft formthe BOR using these project funding categories:

- x Renewal and Renovation (includes defermendewal), Code, ADA, and Expansion
- x Essential Renewal and Renovation of Academidu(iding technical) Equipment and Administrative (communications) Equipment
- x New Construction, Expansion, d Major Building Repurposing\*
- x Planning and Design\*
- x Land, Property, and Facilities Acquisition\*

\*Projects in these categories will be presented be administration for approval in the Capital Improvement plan and the thematic approace airly drafts of the FY11 plan. Themes include: Academic Instruction, Research, High-Demand Academic grams, Student Lifend Infrastructure.

# Capital Project Evaluation and Prioritization Criteria

In addition to the specific category criteria below ojects demonstrating was not services directed at the goals distin Guiding Principles section.

- x Renewal and Renovation, Code and ADA address the following criteria:
  - o Code and ADA requirements
  - o Impact on students, programs, faculty, and staff
  - o Impact on meeting accepted performance goals
  - o Impact on accountability and sustainability efforts
  - o Impact on existing and phned space utilization
  - o MAU/Campus priority
  - Reduction of legal liability; gennel improvement of well being; consequences of not proceeding with the project
  - o Developed plan/project readiness/ability to execute

- Potential for non-state funding
- Actual non-state funding in hand
- x Academic and Administrative Equipment
  - o Impact on students, programs, faculty, and staff
  - o Impact on meeting accepted performance goals
  - o Impact on accountability and sustainability efforts
- x New Construction, Expansion, and Major Build Repurposing will address the following criteria:
  - o Impact on students, programs, faculty, and staff
  - Impact on meeting accepted performance goals
  - o Impact on accountability and sustainability efforts
  - o Impact on existing and phned space utilization
  - MAU/Campus priority
  - Developed plan/project readiness/ability to execute
  - o Responsiveness to UA Strgte Plan and state needs
  - Potential for non-state funding
  - Actual non-state funding in hand
- x Planning and Design will adelss the following criteria:
  - o Impact on students, programs, faculty, and staff
  - o Impact on meeting accepted performance goals
  - o Impact on accountability and sustainability efforts
  - o Impact on existing and phned space utilization
  - o MAU/Campus priority
  - o Developed plan/project readiness/ability to execute
  - o Responsiveness to UA Strgte Plan and state needs
  - Potential for non-state funding
  - o Actual non-state funding in hand
- x Land, Property, and Facilities Acquisition
  - o Conformance with the UA Strategic Plan, Cam Masster Plan and campus land acquisition plan
  - Likelihood of adverse development/redevelopmentanother party versus time horizon before campus use

Criteria Descriptions

Х

- To what extent will the project enhance thaculty/staff career/employment experience and strengthen the ability to reduce that faculty and staff?
- o To what extent does it strengthæsearch competitiveness?
- o To what extent will this poject align with community and student demographic trends?
- x Impact on meeting accepted performance goals
  - o To what extent will the project enhance the M&lability to meet its accepted performance goals?
  - Which performance measures does this project impact?
- x Impact on accountability and sustainability efforts
  - o To what extent will the project enhance the M&lefforts toward efficiency and cost savings?
- x Impact on existing and phned space utilization
  - To what extent will the project enable MAU to maximize its existing space?
  - What is the MAU existing space utilization?
  - Has an analysis of space use deteend that this project is the best solution to meet the space needs?
- x MAU/Campus priority
  - To what extent does the projected the priority goals and objects of the MAU academic/service plan? A project high on the MAU (campus) list will rate higher on this criteria than a project lower on the campus priority.
- x Developed plan/ project readiness/ability to execute
  - What stage of the planning process is the quoto; urrently in (i.e. an identified project concept/vision/idea, project scope has been **dpeel**, the schema is **deoped**, the project is bid ready)? A bid ready project will be higher than a project inetlidea stage. Additionally, added weight will be given toprojects, which clearly demonstrate querating cost and otential sources of funding for these costs.
- x Demonstrates responsiveness to Strategic Plan and state needs
  - The extent to which the projecting ports the delivery of programs sitrategic initiative areas and objectives outlined in the UA Strategic Plan. Pots that support identified goals addressed in academic initiatives, strategic plansother goal setting processes waite higher than projects that do not.
- x Potential for non-state funding
  - What are the potential NGF funding sour desth construction and operating costs)?
  - What level of participation is expected?
  - What is the current commitment of partners?
- x Strategic plan, campus master plan aand pus land acquisition plan conformance
  - What is the necessity of the project within **the** mework of appropriate/AU and system goals and objectives as articulated in the UA **Steg**ic Plan and MAU planning documents?
- x For land acquisitions, identify this elihood of adverse development by another party prior to time horizon for campus use and possibility this acquisition will not be available if not included in the currencapital budget cycle.